A High Precision Noncontact Electronic Hole Measurement Gauge for Aerospace Structures

Commercial and Military Aircraft, Launch Vehicles and Satellites have a significant number of fastener holes in their structures. In large aircraft such as the Boeing 747, The C-17 Military Transport or the new Airbus A380 it is estimated that the number of holes is well over one million. Some of these fastener holes are more critical than others. In aircraft, holes that get a high level of attention from QC engineers are those that interface the connection of the wings of the fuselage (front and back), the vertical stabilizer as well as fasteners in the engine mounts that keep the engines attached to the wings. In launch vehicles examples of critical fasteners holes are the ones located where the outside surface of the launcher is attached to the main structure. As the pressure increases on aerospace structure manufacturers to develop faster, more efficient and higher quality methods of assembly they are looking for more modern ways to measure holes.

Traditional hole measurement methods

Today many critical fastener holes are being measured using traditional contact methods of hole measurement such mechanical bore gauges and go/no go mechanical gauges.

Mechanical bore gauges are made up of a mechanical measurement interface (such as split ball), which is attached to a standard dimensional measurement instrument such as a dial indicator. In the case of a split ball device the diameter size changes in relation to the spreading of the balls. In order to calculate the average diameter of a hole the operator must make measurements at 0° and 180 degrees at in top middle and bottom of each hole. This method is typically used where high precision important and the number of holes to be measured is limit. This method has advantages if the number of holes is limited and the accuracy level required is low but has distinct disadvantages when companies are looking to measure a high volume of holes in an accurate and automated method.

In the assembly of aircraft, some fastening applications are more critical than others. In the most critical applications aircraft manufacturers often use tapered bolts. A good example of one of the most critical fastener applications where tapered bolts are used is when aircraft assemblers attach the front and rear wings to the fuselage of the plane. Accurately measuring the full-length diameter of the boreholes drilled for these bolts presents a particularly difficult measurement challenge. The traditional method to measure the fit between the tapered bolt and hole is referred to as the “blue ink” method. This dated procedure gets it’s name from the way it works. In this case operators start with a mechanical pin that is the same size as the specified tapered bolt for that hole. The pin is then painted with blue ink and hammered into the hole. Once removed, the operator visually inspects how much blue ink remains on the bolt. The less ink remaining, the
better the fit. The advantage of this method is low cost. The disadvantages are the
difficulty of the procedure, poor measurement repeatability and subjectivity from
operator to operator. An additional disadvantage of this method is cost of a bad
measurement. If the operator selects the wrong sized bolt (e.g. the bolt will be oversized)
and the tapered bolt is forced into the tapered hole during assembly, it is very difficult,
time consuming and costly to remove the oversized bolt from the hole. One thing in
common with these traditional hole measurement methods is that they are becoming less
and less suited for high volume precise measurement of critical fasteners.

Statistical Quality Methods

While aerospace structure manufacturers are looking for improved ways to assemble and
test, their customers continue to require a more detailed level of proof that their
measurement methods are sound and under control. With the rise in the use of SPC and
Six Sigma, end users are demanding that their suppliers prove the repeatability of their
measurement methods thru Gage Reliability and Repeatability studies (Gage R&R).
These standards also require that the supplier include detailed written measurement
results data with each shipment. More and more often these days Aerospace
manufacturers are finding that the current traditional methods cannot pass Gage R&Rs
and are inefficient in furnishing their customer with the mandatory written back up data
inherent in the new quality methods

Why electronic needed (SPC six sigma)
Airframes and other demanding structures require thousands of fastener hole inspections
to insure compliance to exacting design specifications.
Mechanical methods do not pass gage R &R

Benefits
Reduces test time
Increases accuracy
Reduces operator influence
Immediately see Pass/Fail indication of hole quality
Interface with industry standard data collectors